



Corres. and  
**BOX AF**

AF/2161  
2700

S&H Form: (2/01)

**REPLY/AMENDMENT  
FEE TRANSMITTAL**

Attorney Docket No. 1046.1133/JIM

Application Number 08/645,073

Filing Date May 13, 1996

First Named Inventor Makoto YOSHIOKA et al.

Group Art Unit 2161

AMOUNT ENCLOSED

0.00

Examiner Name

P. Elisca

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2001

Technology Center 2100

**FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/00)**

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	25	- 25 =	0	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	18	- 18 =	0	X \$ 84.00 =	0.00

Since an Official Action set an original due date of October 25, 2001, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$400); 3 months (\$920); 4 months (\$1,440); 5 months (\$1,960)):

If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$320)

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)

Total of above Calculations =

\$ 0.00

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

TOTAL FEES DUE =

\$ 0.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".

(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".

(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".

(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

**METHOD OF PAYMENT**

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
- ☒ No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).

**GENERAL AUTHORIZATION**

- ☐ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:
- Deposit Account No. 19-3935
- Deposit Account Name STAAS & HALSEY LLP
- ☐ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name

Jon H. Muskin

Reg. No.

43,824

Signature

Date

10-10-01

©2001 Staas & Halsey LLP



H 4/8/Response  
on 5  
10-20-01

Docket No.: 1046.1133/JIM  
**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 2161**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

Makoto YOSHIOKA, et al.

Serial No.: 08/645,073

Filed: May 13, 1996

Group Art Unit: 2161

Examiner: Elisca, P

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 15 2001  
Technology Center 2100

For: **CONTENT SALES PERIOD VERIFYING SYSTEM AND CONTENT  
DECRYPTION KEY EFFECTIVE PERIOD VERIFYING SYSTEM**

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Assistant Commissioner  
for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**BOX AF**

Sir:

This Response comes in response to the Office Action dated July 25, 2001, with a period for response set to expire on October 25, 2001. Even though this Response comes after a final rejection, consideration of this Response is respectfully requested since the Application in condition for allowance, and the finality of the Office Action is improper (as discussed below).

The following remarks are respectfully submitted.

**REMARKS**

**I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS**

Claims 1-25 are pending.

**II. FINALITY OF OFFICE ACTION IS TRAVERSED**

The Applicant respectfully submits that this "first Office Action final rejection" is improper for the following two reasons, and requests a non-final action.

First, MPEP 706.07(b) states that, "However, it would not be proper to make final a first Office Action in a continuing or substitute application where that application contains material